

## Computer-Mathematical Modeling of Board Oscillations of A Military Marine Vessel Based on The Degenerate Optimal Singular Adaptive M3A1 Computer Observer – Part II

Lyubomir Sotirov, Nikola Lyutov, Todor Kolarov, Stela Sotirova

**Abstract.** *The paper presents a new approach of discrete adaptive computer observation and modeling of board oscillations of military marine vessels considered to be linear and non-stationary discrete processes so far. The tools of the Theory of optimal singular adaptive computer observation and discrete modeling, which has been developed in the recent 25 years, are applied.*

**Keywords.** *Discrete systems, degenerate optimal singular adaptive computer observation, identification, discrete modeling, estimation of the initial state vector. board oscillations, military marine vessels, Matlab implementation.*

### MATLAB-INTERPRETATION OF THE DEGENERATE OPTIMAL SINGULAR ADAPTIVE M3A1 COMPUTER OBSERVER

**Step 1.** The one-dimensional arrays and the be-dimensional array of the input-output data are formed by the following Matlab-module:

```
y1 = y(1 : n);
y2 = y(n + 1; 2 * n);
v = u(1 : n);
for i = 1 : n
    for j = 1 : n
        Y12(i, j) = y(i + j - 1);
    end
end
```

**Step 2.** Set up and solution of the respective linear system of algebraic equations:

```
ae = inv(Y12) * (y2 - v);
```

**Step 3.** Assessment of the initial state vector:

```
xe0 = y1;
```

**Step 4.** The degenerate OSA computer observer is interpreted by the following Matlab-module:

```

b = [zeros(n - 1, 1); 1];
c = [1; zeros(n - 1, 1)];
g = zeros(n, 1);
I = eye(n - 1);
Ae = [zeros(n - 1, 1), I; ae];
Fe = Ae - g * c';
xe(:, 1) = xe0;
for k = 1 : 2 * n - 1
    xe(:, k + 1) = Fe * xe(:, k) + b * u(k) + g * y(k);
end

```

**Step 5.** The relative error of the degenerate OSA observation is calculated by the following Matlab-module:

```

for k = 1 : 2 * n
    ye = c' * xe(:, k);
end
for k = 1 : 2 * n
    e(k) = norm(y(k) - ye(k)) / norm(y(k));
end

```

## RESULTS OF THE DEGENERATE OPTIMAL SINGULAR ADAPTIVE COMPUTER OBSERVATION AND MODELING OF THE BOARD OSCILLATIONS OF THE MILITARY MARINE VESSEL

The presented above input-output data were processed further in the mode of three randomly selected samples. The evaluated order of the three discrete sub-models is  $\hat{n} = 2$ . The degenerate OSA computer observer (at  $\mathbf{g}=0$ ) was selected for assessment of the current state vector and of the three discrete sub-models. It is known that all OSA observers calculate the results of the adaptive observation with almost equal accuracy thus the OSA computer observer with the simplest structure for realization was selected.

We shall give the following representative results for the first sample, at  $k=0,1,2,3$ :

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}} = \begin{bmatrix} -2.3000 \\ 2.9000 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2.0000 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}}(1) = \begin{bmatrix} 2.0000 \\ 6.0000 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}(2) = \begin{bmatrix} 6.0000 \\ 13.0000 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}}(3) = \begin{bmatrix} 13.0000 \\ 24.1000 \end{bmatrix}.$$

They are obtained with the following relative errors:

$$e(k) = \frac{|y(k) - \hat{y}(k)|}{|y(k)|} = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

At that,

$$\text{cond}(\mathbf{Y}_{12}) = 10.9083,$$

i.e. the value of the number of condition of the respective Hankel matrix tells that the considered here task for degenerate OSA observation and modeling of the board oscillations of the military marine vessel, modeled by a continuous linear nonstationary mathematical model, is well conditioned in the case of discrete presentation, which leads to a better computer interpretation, e.g. to a minimal computational complexity and to reduction of the operating computer memory to an order of magnitude, compared with the existing method for matrix inversion, solution of linear systems of algebraic equations with a special, dense structure.

The eigenvalues of the state matrix of this sub-model are:

$$\chi_{11} = 1.4500 + 0.4444i,$$

$$\chi_{12} = 1.4500 - 0.4444i.$$

Interpreted process in this time interval is oscillating with increasing amplitude, i.e. unstable.

The eigenvalues of the degenerate OSA computer observer (at  $\mathbf{g}=0$ ), are obviously the same, but the stated OSA observer instability does not impact negatively the exceptionally high accuracy of the described observation and modeling

We shall give the following representative results for the second sample, at  $k=11, 12, 13, 14$ :

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.9107 \\ 1.7487 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}}(11) = \begin{bmatrix} -6.0000 \\ 11.0000 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}}(12) = \begin{bmatrix} 11.0000 \\ 25.0000 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}(13) = \begin{bmatrix} 25.0000 \\ 34.0000 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}}(14) = \begin{bmatrix} 34.0000 \\ 36.9886 \end{bmatrix}.$$

They are obtained with the following relative errors:

$$e(k) = \frac{|y(k) - \hat{y}(k)|}{|y(k)|} = 2.0898 \cdot 10^{-14}, \quad k = 11, 12, 13, 14.$$

At that,

$$\text{cond}(\mathbf{Y}_{12}) = 2.9986,$$

i.e. in the considered time interval the task for degenerate OSA computer observation and modeling is also well conditioned

The eigenvalues of the state matrix of this sub-model are:

$$\chi_{21} = 0.8744 + 0.3824i,$$

$$\chi_{22} = 0.8744 - 0.3824i,$$

i.e. the interpreted process is oscillating with decreasing amplitude, i.e. stable.

We shall give the following representative results for the third sample at  $k=22, 23, 24, 25$ :

$$\hat{\mathbf{a}} = \begin{bmatrix} -1.3004 \\ 1.8176 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}}(22) = \begin{bmatrix} 10.0000 \\ 23.0000 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}}(23) = \begin{bmatrix} 23.0000 \\ 29.0000 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}(24) = \begin{bmatrix} 29.0000 \\ 23.0000 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}}(25) = \begin{bmatrix} 23.0000 \\ 4.2921 \end{bmatrix}.$$

They are obtained with the following relative errors:

$$e(k) = \frac{|y(k) - \hat{y}(k)|}{|y(k)|} = 1.5447 \cdot 10^{-16}, \quad k = 22, 23, 24, 25.$$

At that,

$$\text{cond}(\mathbf{Y}_{12}) = 8.2427.$$

The eigenvalues of the state matrix of this third sub-model are:

$$\chi_{31} = 0.9088 + 0.6889i,$$

$$\chi_{32} = 0.9088 - 0.6889i.$$

Obviously the comments regarding the behavior of the oscillated process in this time interval are analogical to the previous discrete structure, approximating the dynamic behavior of the military marine vessel in the respective time sub-interval.

## CONCLUSION

A successful attempt at adequate description of board oscillations of a marine military vessel has been made in the present paper for the purposes of their optimal and adaptive reduction which in some cases, e.g. marine military battles, is of crucial importance for the precision of the hits of the fire arms located on the deck of a military marine vessel.

The applied test input influences interpret better the complex weather circumstances which might surround the military marine vessel in the case of a military marine study practice or a battle as compared to the classical case of an influence, constant in amplitude.

The results of the computer processed input-output data on the basis of the proposed approach, M3A1 degenerate observer and Matlab software illustrates the possibility to solve the task for optimal singular adaptive computer observation and discrete modeling of the board oscillations of the military marine vessel with guaranteed accuracy. These computational results illustrate the mathematical and program consistency of the algorithmic synthesis of OSA computer observers.

The computer processing of arbitrary, sequential or sequential with overlapping samples of input-output data for the oscillated process allows to interpret the considered class of linear and non-stationary continuous systems with a limited set of discrete sub-models with constant structure, variable parameters and variable initial and current states..

The respective processing of experimental information of this type can be used for design, modeling and realization of adaptive systems for regulation, stabilization of the board oscillations of the military marine vessel and other objects on the basis of identifiers, optimal computer estimators of initial state, optimal singular adaptive computer observers of current state, regulators and stabilizers..

It can be shown [4÷17], that the assessments received above are optimal in terms of the minimum of the quadratic functional of the type:

$$\|\mathbf{e}(k)\|^2 = \|\mathbf{x}(k) - \hat{\mathbf{x}}(k)\|^2, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\mathbf{e}(k) = \mathbf{x}(k) - \hat{\mathbf{x}}(k), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (11)$$

and the norm is defined as:

$$\|\mathbf{e}(k)\| = \sqrt{\langle \mathbf{e}(k), \mathbf{e}(k) \rangle}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (12)$$

## REFERENCES:

1. Коларов Т.П., Особенности и проблемы при изследване на полупотопени морски конструкции, Корабостроене Корабоплаване, август 1980, Варна.
2. Коларов Т.П., Хидродинамични модели при изследване на колебанията на полупотопени плаващи платформи, Корабостроене Корабоплаване, януари 1981, Варна.
3. Лютов Н.Р., Структура и организация на система за автоматизирано провеждане на изпитания на прав курс и тиха вода в опитен басейн, Дисертация, 1987, София.
4. Sotirov, L. N., 1994, A direct method for adaptive observation of single-input single-output linear stationary discrete systems with initial state vector estimation, Reports of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, vol. 47, No. 11, 5-8, Sofia.
5. Sotirov, L. N., 1997, Optimal singular adaptive observation of stationary discrete systems with initial state vector estimation. Automation and Remote Control, Russian Academy of Sciences, No 9, 110-118, Moscow, New York, London .
6. Sotirov, L. N., 1997, An algorithm for synthesis of optimal singular adaptive observers with direct estimation of the initial state vector. International Journal of Systems Science, vol. 28, No 6, 559-562.
7. Sotirov, L. N., E. G. Sukhov, 1997, A parallel direct method for optimal adaptive estimation of discrete linear system, Automation and Remote Control, Russian Academy of Sciences, No 10, 154-163, Moscow, New York, London .
8. Sotirov L.N., 1999, Reduced order optimal singular adaptive observation for a class of discrete systems, Automation and Remote Control, Russian Academy of Sciences, No.2, 75-82, Moscow, New York, London.
9. Sotirov L.N., 1999, An algorithm for synthesis of discrete reduced order optimal singular adaptive observers. International Journal of Systems Science , vol.30, No. 6, 665-671.
10. Sotirov L.N., 2000, Optimal singular adaptive observation of multi- input discrete linear systems with perturbation, Automation and Remote Control, Russian Academy of Sciences, No 7, 120-130, Moscow, New York, London .
11. Sotirov L.N., 2001, An algorithmic synthesis of discrete optimal singular adaptive observers for multiple- input single- output linear systems, . International Journal of Systems Science , vol.12, No. 5, 545-551.
12. Sotirov L.N., 2001, An algorithm for synthesis of discrete time- optimal adaptive controllers, Reports of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, vol. 54, No. 3, 19-24, Sofia.
13. Sotirov L.N., S.L. Sotirova, 2002, An algorithmic synthesis of discrete optimal singular adaptive observers for single- input single- output linear systems, Reports of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, vol. 55, No. 7, 31-36, Sofia.

14. Sotirov L.N., 1998, Chosen chapters from the modern control theory, Technical University of Varna, Bulgaria.
15. Sotirov L.N., 2000, A theory of automatic control, Technical University of Varna, Bulgaria.
16. Sotirov, L.N., S.L Sotirova , Computer-Mathematical Method for Synthesis of Optimal Singular Adaptive Computer Observers – Part I, Computer Science and Technologies, 2003, Number 1, p. 68-72, Varna Technical University.
17. Sotirov, L.N., S.L Sotirova , Computer-Mathematical Method for Synthesis of Optimal Singular Adaptive Computer Observers – Part II, Computer Science and Technologies, 2003, Number 1, p. 83-89, Varna Technical University.

#### **ABOUT THE AUTHORS**

**Prof., DSc. Lyubomir Nikolaev Sotirov**

**Stela Lyubomirova Sotirova**, bachelor of computer science, Varna Technical University, Bulgaria, tel. 052-30-06-96, Faculty of Computer Science and Automation,

E- mail: [Sotirov@ieee.bg](mailto:Sotirov@ieee.bg), [stellasot@hotmail.com](mailto:stellasot@hotmail.com)

**Prof., Dr. Nikola Rashkov Lyutov**, Varna Free University, Bulgaria, tel. 052 602 708.....  
Department of Informatics, E- mail: [Lyutov@vfu.bg](mailto:Lyutov@vfu.bg)

**Assoc. prof. Todor Petrov Kolarov**, Varna Technical University, Bulgaria, tel. 052-30-78-97, Faculty of Shipbuilding, E- mail: [todor\\_p\\_kolarov@yahoo.com](mailto:todor_p_kolarov@yahoo.com)